

PUBLISHED BY INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: January 28, 2007 REVISED: April 9, 2007 ACCEPTED: June 5, 2007 PUBLISHED: June 15, 2007

Ruppeiner geometry of RN black holes: flat or curved?

Behrouz Mirza and Mohammad Zamaninasab

Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-83111, Iran E-mail: b.mirza@cc.iut.ac.ir, m-zamani@ph.iut.ac.ir

ABSTRACT: In some recent studies [1-3], Aman et al. used the Ruppeiner scalar as a measure of underlying interactions of Reissner-Nordström black holes, indicating that it is a non-interacting statistical system for which classical thermodynamics could be used at any scale. Here, we show that if we use the complete set of thermodynamic variables, a non-flat state space will be produced. Furthermore, the Ruppeiner curvature diverges at extremal limits, as it would for other types of black holes.

KEYWORDS: Black Holes, Classical Theories of Gravity.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Kerr-Newmann-AdS black holes	2
3.	RN black holes, the matter of statistical interactions?	4
4.	Kerr black holes	6
5.	Geometry of quasilocal thermodynamics	7
6.	Conclusion	9

1. Introduction

It has been commonly held that black holes are thermodynamic systems [4, 5]. Black holes obey four laws of black hole mechanics analogous to the four laws of ordinary thermodynamics, posing a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and a characteristic Hawking temperature related to the surface gravity of event horizon [6–9]. Finding the underlying microscopic description of this entropy is one of the most challenging subjects in theoretical physics, but it still remains obscure, best left for future development of quantum theory of gravity [10].

Thermodynamic fluctuation theory, whose basic goal is to express the time independent probability distribution for the state of a fluctuating system in terms of thermodynamic quantities, is usually attributed to Einstein who applied it to the problem of blackbody radiation [11]. However, despite a wide range of applications, the classical fluctuation theory fails near critical points and for volumes in the order of the correlation volume or less. In 1979, Ruppeiner [12] introduced a Riemannian metric structure representing thermodynamic fluctuation theory, and related it to the second derivatives of the entropy. His theory offered a good meaning for the distance between thermodynamic states. He showed that the breakdown of the classical theory was due to its failure to take account of local correlations [13]. One of the most significant topics of this theory is the introduction of the Riemannian thermodynamic curvature as a qualitatively new tool for the study of fluctuation phenomena. This curvature has a possible relationship with the interactions of the underlying statistical system as proposed by Ruppeiner [14] in contrast with singlecomponent ideal gas which is a non-interacting system with zero Ruppeiner curvature. Earlier, in 1975, Weinhold [15] had proposed an approach which was based on a sort of Riemanian metric defined as the Hessian of the internal energy of a given system, M, where derivatives are taken with respect to the extensive thermodynamic variables and

entropy. In 1984, Mrugała [16] and Salamon et al. [17] proved that these two metrics are conformally equivalent with the inverse of the temperature, β , as the conformal factor

$$ds_R^2 = \beta ds_W^2 \tag{1.1}$$

Since then, geometrical approaches have been intensively used to study the chemical and physical properties of various thermodynamic systems [14], first applied to black holes by Ferrara et al. [18] to discuss the critical behavior in moduli space. Later, some authors used Ruppinier geometry to study phase space, critical behavior, and stability of various types of black hole families [1-3, 19-21].

Recently, in a series of papers [1-3], Aman et al. derived Ruppeiner and Weinhold scalars for Reissner-Nordström (RN), Kerr, and BTZ black holes in arbitrary four dimensional space times. One of their results is that the Ruppeiner curvature is zero for RN black holes of any dimensions; however, for RN-AdS and Kerr black holes thermodynamic spaces are non-trivial and curvatures diverge at extremal limits [1]. On the other hand, it seems that a non-interacting background can not produce the thermodynamic behavior of the RN black holes, so efforts were made to redefine Ruppeiner geometry using new Massiue functions [20]. Furthermore, if one uses the Ruppeiner curvature, as proposed in [14], to find a lower volume as the limit of the applicability of classical thermodynamics, zero curvature will tell us that there is no such limit. This seems unacceptable because we expect classical description to fail at least at the Planck scale.

In this paper, we propose that a better measure of microscopic interactions will be obtained if one uses the complete phase space of extensive variables. According to this view, in calculating Ruppeiner curvature for RN black holes, one should set $J \rightarrow 0, l \rightarrow \infty$ limits in the scalar curvature of the Kerr-Newmann-AdS (KN-AdS) black hole, which is the most general solution of Einstein-Maxwell field equations in an anti-de Sitter background. The Ruppeiner curvature becomes a non-zero function of M and Q and diverges at extremal limits. This also indicates that classical thermodynamics could not be used for black holes of the size of Planck length. We believe that this is a general property which is related to the nature of fluctuation theory and is applicable for BTZ black holes that also have a flat Ruppeiner curvature in Aman's method [1]. Furthermore, using the quasilocal thermodynamic parameters shows that our results do not contingent upon using of ADM parameters.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section 2, we recall the charged rotating solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-anti-deSitter equations. We also recall the results of generalized Smarr formula for this type of black holes and derive the Ruppeiner curvature. In section 3 and 4, we discuss the ideas for the RN and Kerr families and discuss the phase transition points and their thermodynamic stability. In section 5, we study the Ruppeiner geometry of KN and RN black holes by using the quasilocal thermodynamic quantities. Throughout this paper, we use the natural units $c = G = \hbar = 1$ and also set $k_B = \frac{1}{\pi}$.

2. Kerr-Newmann-AdS black holes

Here we consider the usual charged rotating black hole in AdS space. Its metric, which is

axisymmetric, reads in Boyer-Lidquist type coordinates

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\rho^{2}} \left[dt - \frac{a\sin^{2}\theta}{\Xi} d\phi \right]^{2} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{\Delta_{r}} dr^{2} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}} d\theta^{2} + \frac{\Delta_{\theta}\sin^{2}\theta}{\rho^{2}} \left[a dt - \frac{r^{2} + a^{2}}{\Xi} d\phi \right]^{2}$$
(2.1)

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \qquad \Xi = 1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2}$$
(2.2)

$$\Delta_r = (r^2 + a^2) \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) - 2mr + q^2, \qquad \Delta_\theta = 1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta \tag{2.3}$$

Here a denotes the rotational parameter, q is the electric charge, and l is defined by $l^2 = \frac{-3}{\Lambda}$, where Λ is the cosmological constant. The mass M, charge Q and the angular momentum J can be defined by means of Komar integrals as

$$M = \frac{m}{\Xi^2}, \qquad Q = \frac{q}{\Xi}, \qquad J = \frac{am}{\Xi^2}$$
(2.4)

From these relations, one can obtain a generalized Smarr formula for Kerr-Newmann-AdS black holes, which reads [22]

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{S}{4\pi} + \frac{\pi}{4S}(4J^2 + Q^4) + \frac{Q^2}{2} + \frac{J^2}{l^2} + \frac{S}{2\pi l^2}\left(Q^2 + \frac{S}{\pi} + \frac{S^2}{2\pi^2 l^2}\right)}.$$
 (2.5)

(S, J, Q) and (2.5) will be regarded as the complete set of energetic extensive parameters and the black hole thermodynamic fundamental relation, M = M(S, Q, J). Conjugate variables to S, Q and J could be obtained, for example, the Hawking temperature is defined as: $T = \frac{\partial M}{\partial S}|_{JQ}$. Extremal black holes have a zero Hawking temperature; so, T(S, Q, J) = 0 is used to calculate the extremal surface: $J = J_{\text{extr}}(S, Q)$. Now, components of the Ruppeiner metric could be derived

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial X^i \partial X^j} \qquad X^i = (S, Q, J)$$

$$g_{11} = \frac{1}{2S(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(3S^2 - 12J^2 - 3Q^4 - 2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 4S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times (-27S^4 - 432J^2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 108S^4\Lambda Q^2 - 864J^2S^3\Lambda + 360J^2S^4\Lambda^2 + 1296J^4 + 81Q^8 + 648S^2J^2 + 162S^2Q^4 + 18S^6\Lambda^2 + 648J^2Q^4 - 8S^7\Lambda^3 + S^8\Lambda^4 - 108Q^6S^2\Lambda - 216Q^4S^3\Lambda + 54Q^4S^4\Lambda^2 + 72S^5\Lambda^2Q^2 - 12S^6\Lambda^3Q^2 - 144Q^4J^2\Lambda S + 216Q^6S + 864J^2Q^2S - 576J^4\Lambda S - 48S^5\Lambda^3J^2)$$

$$(2.6)$$

$$g_{12} = g_{21} = \frac{2Q}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(3S^2 - 12J^2 - 3Q^4 - 2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 4S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times (-27S^3 + S^6\Lambda^3 + 108SJ^2 - 81Q^2S^2 + 54Q^2S^3\Lambda - 9Q^2S^4\Lambda^2 - 108J^2Q^2 - 27Q^6 - 108J^2S^2\Lambda + 27Q^4S^2\Lambda + 27S^4\Lambda - 81Q^4S - 9S^5\Lambda^2 + 72Q^2J^2\Lambda S + 24S^3\Lambda^2J^2)$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$g_{13} = g_{31} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(3S^2 - 12J^2 - 3Q^4 - 2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 4S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times (-27S^2 + 27S^3\Lambda - 36J^2 + 12J^2\Lambda S - 9Q^4 - 3Q^4S\Lambda + 18S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 2S^3\Lambda^2Q^2 - 9S^4\Lambda^2 + S^5\Lambda^3 - 36Q^2S)$$

$$g_{22} = -\frac{4S}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(-27S^3 + S^6\Lambda^3)} \times \frac{1}{(-27S^3 + S^6\Lambda^3)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(-27S^3 + S^6\Lambda^3)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} = \frac{1}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 6S^4\Lambda + 18S^2\Lambda Q^2 + 6S^4\Lambda + 18S^2\Lambda Q^2 + 6S^4\Lambda + 18S^2\Lambda Q^2 + 6S^4\Lambda + 18S^2\Lambda + 18S^2$$

$$(3 S^{2} - 12 J^{2} - 3 Q^{4} - 2 S^{2} \Lambda Q^{2} - 4 S^{3} \Lambda + S^{4} \Lambda^{2}) + (2 S^{2} + 5 M^{2})^{2} - 108S J^{2} - 81Q^{2}S^{2} + 54Q^{2}S^{3} \Lambda - 9Q^{2}S^{4} \Lambda^{2} - 324J^{2}Q^{2} - 27Q^{6} + 72J^{2}S^{2} \Lambda + 27Q^{4}S^{2} \Lambda + 27S^{4} \Lambda - 81Q^{4}S - 9S^{5} \Lambda^{2} + 108Q^{2}J^{2} \Lambda S - 12S^{3} \Lambda^{2}J^{2})$$

$$(2.10)$$

$$g_{23} = g_{32} = -\frac{48SQJ\left(-3Q^2 - 3S + S^2\Lambda\right)\left(-3 + S\Lambda\right)}{\left(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2\right)} \times \frac{1}{\left(3S^2 - 12J^2 - 3Q^4 - 2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 4S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2\right)}$$
(2.11)

$$g_{33} = -\frac{8S\left(9S^2 - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2\right)(-3 + S\Lambda)}{(9S^2 + 36J^2 + 9Q^4 + 18Q^2S - 12J^2\Lambda S - 6S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 6S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)} \times \frac{1}{(3S^2 - 12J^2 - 3Q^4 - 2S^2\Lambda Q^2 - 4S^3\Lambda + S^4\Lambda^2)}$$
(2.12)

Furthermore, by definition of the Ricci scalar [23], the Ruppeiner curvature could be calculated directly by using GRTensor II or GRTensor M packages. The expression is too complicated to present here, so the results are depicted numerically. The Ruppeiner curvature diverges at the extremal limit, $J_1 = J_{\text{extr}}(S, Q)$, and along the surface $J_2(S, Q)$ where metric changes its sign (a signature of thermal instability). For different combinations of S and Q, each J function has a double root, so at four physically acceptable values of J, the scalar curvature diverges. The Ruppeiner geometry is not flat (figure 1), a fact that could also be checked by the Cotton-York tensor.

3. RN black holes, the matter of statistical interactions?

Up until now, we have been considering the RN case which is our main interest, because of the works by Aman et al. [1-3], indicating that this family of black holes has a trivial



Figure 1: Ruppeiner curvature of KN-AdS BHs as a function of Q and J. We set S = 100 and $\Lambda = -1$. The plot shows the region between the first two divergent points, which indicates that it is a non-flat Ruppeiner curvature.



Figure 2: Ruppeiner scalar of RN BH $(l \to \infty, J \to 0)$ as a function of Q. R diverges at $Q = \pm 10$. Even at Q = 0, R has a $\frac{1}{S}$ remnant.

thermodynamic geometry (zero curvature) and so has a non-interacting underlying statistical system. This can be an interesting result which may serve as a guide in looking for an appropriate statistical model for black holes in loop quantum gravity or string theory. On the other hand, it seems that the physical structure of RN black holes could not be reproduced by such a simple underlying system. Furthermore, the absence of the divergent points set it in a different class from other types of black holes. If RN systems are viewed as the limit of KN-AdS black holes by setting $l \to \infty$ and $J \to 0$ in the Ruppeiner curvature calculated for KN-AdS case, R will takes the form

$$R = \frac{S^2 + Q^2 S + 2Q^4}{(S^2 - Q^4)(S + Q^2)}$$
(3.1)

We now have a new non-zero Ruppeiner scalar which diverges at the extremal limits: $Q = \pm \sqrt{S}$ (figure 2). This observation is in agreement with [25], which used the second moments of the fluctuations in the fluxes of energy and angular momentum. It was shown that phase transitions occur only at the extremal limits, the points at which a black hole changes its nature to a naked singularity, a new phase. It should be noted that this behavior is related to the nature of the Ruppeiner geometry. If we initially work with spinless black

holes

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial X^i \partial X^j} \qquad X^i = (S, Q)$$

$$g_{11} = \frac{3Q^2 - S}{2S(S - Q^2)}, \qquad g_{21} = g_{12} = -\frac{2Q}{S - Q^2}, \qquad g_{22} = \frac{4S}{S - Q^2}$$
(3.2)

we will neglect the fluctuations of parameter J in all calculations, which will lead to a wrong flat geometry (R = 0). Therefore, the above non-vanishing scalar curvature is a result coming from another dimension specified by J which fluctuates even if we set it to zero. We see that by setting $J = \Lambda = 0$, the metric elements of KN-AdS state space (eqs. 2.7–2.12) reduce to the RN ones (eq. 3.2) but the curvature does not behave in the same manner. This behavior originated from the existence of an extra dimension in the parameter space. When the extremal limit is approached, however, the curvature diverges strongly. Another difference is also observed from reports in certain works on RN black holes phase space: the absence of 'Davies phase transition points'. This relates to the difference between ordinary thermodynamics of extensive systems and that of black holes, which constitute non-extensive, non-additive thermodynamic systems because of the well known scaling of the black hole entropy with area rather than with volume. However, the interpretation of the divergence in specific heats as phase transitions is not settled and has been the subject of much debate [24-28]. Ruppeiner formalism relies on the usual thermodynamic properties of extensive systems but it can also be applied to black holes near the divergent points [29]. So we can use the Ruppeiner method as a probe to find phase transitions. Furthermore, Ruppeiner proposed that |R| sets the limiting lower volume in which the classical fluctuation theory provides a good approximation [14]. For black holes, it could be obtained from (7) that even for a non-charged black hole, Ruppeiner curvature has a $\frac{1}{S}$ remanent. Replacing S by $\frac{s}{k_B}$, where s is the entropy per volume, and leaving the choice of natural units, one could derive a lower volume, $v \simeq \frac{G\hbar r}{c^3}$ (in which r is the Schwarzchild radius), above which the classical thermodynamic regime could be used. This also indicates that if the Schwarzschild radius of the black hole reaches the Planck length, $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$, the classical thermodynamic description will break down.

Still another problem is that of stability. In order to determine the points where a change of thermodynamic stability occurs, we use Poincaré turning point method. According to Arcioni and Lozano-Tellechea [29], by plotting the conjugate variables $(\beta = \frac{1}{T}, \frac{\phi}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial M}{\partial Q})$ in according to their extensive parameters (M, Q) one could see the points where black hole changes its stability (figures 3a & 3b). There is no turning point and, therefore, no changes of stability are shown along the plot. The first diagram shows that β has a minimum at $M = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ (by setting Q = 1). As described in [29], this is not a measure of changing stability, so nothing special happens at this point (Davies point).

4. Kerr black holes

Aman et al. also calculated the Ruppeiner and Weinhold's curvatures for rotating black holes against a flat background. They reported a vanishing Weinhold curvature for Kerrtype black holes but also noted that the physical meaning of this property was not clear



Figure 3: a) Dotted line - β as a function of x(=M) at fixed Q (Q = 1). $M \to \infty$ is the Schwarzschild limit, M = 1 is the extremal limit and the plot has a minimum at $M = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ (Davies point) but there is no turning point. b) Line $-\frac{\phi}{T}$ as a function of x(=Q) at fixed M (M = 1). $Q \to 0$ is the Schwarzschild limit and Q = 1 is the extremal limit.

which they referred to as the ad hoc definition of Weinhold geometry [14]. Using the definition of Weinhold's metric [15] for the complete phase space of parameters (KN-AdS black hole), and taking the limits $Q \to 0$, $l \to \infty$, a non vanishing Weinhold curvature is derived. The phase transition points could be obtained by calculating this Ruppeiner curvature

$$R_{\rm kerr} = \frac{S(S^2 + 36J^2)}{S^4 - 16J^4} \tag{4.1}$$

 R_{kerr} diverges at the extremal limits: $J = \pm \frac{S}{2}$ (figure 4). Further, one could easily check the instabilities by using the Poincaré diagrams: $(\beta(M), M)$ and $(\frac{\omega(J)}{T}, J)$. The plots do not show any turning points (and thus no instability) as indicated before in [26, 27, 29].

5. Geometry of quasilocal thermodynamics

In this section we replace our definition of the internal energy of black holes by quasilocal energy proposed by Brown and York [30, 31] which is derived from the Hamiltonian of spatially bounded gravitational systems.

The Brown-York derivation of the quasilocal energy, as applied to a four-dimensional (4D) spacetime solution of Einstein gravity can be summarized as follows. The system one considers is a 3D spatial hypersurface Σ bounded by a 2D spatial surface B in a spacetime region that can be decomposed as a product of a 3D hypersurface and a real line-interval representing time. The time-evolution of the boundary B is the surface ${}^{3}B$. One can then obtain a surface stress-tensor on ${}^{3}B$ by taking the functional derivative of the action with respect to the 3D metric on ${}^{3}B$. The energy surface density is the projection of the surface stress-tensor normal to a family of spacelike surfaces such as B that foliate ${}^{3}B$. The integral of the energy surface density over such a boundary B is the quasilocal energy associated with a spacelike hypersurface S whose orthogonal intersection with ${}^{3}B$ is the boundary B. It is assumed that there are no inner boundaries, such that the spatial hypersurfaces S are complete. In the case where horizons form, one simply evolves the spacetime inside as well as outside the horizon. Under these conditions, the QLE (Quasi Local Energy) is defined



Figure 4: Ruppeiner scalar of Kerr BH as a function of J. R diverges at two values of J. Even at J = 0, R has a $\frac{1}{S}$ remnant.

as:

$$E = \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_B d^2x \sqrt{\sigma(k-k_0)} \tag{5.1}$$

where σ is the determinant of the 2-metric on B, k is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of B, and k_0 is a reference term that is used to normalize the energy with respect to a reference spacetime, not necessarily flat. To compute the QLE for asymptotically flat solutions, one can choose the reference spacetime to be flat as well. In that case, k_0 is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of a two-dimensional surface embedded in flat spacetime, such that it is isometric to B.

For spacetimes that are asymptotically flat in spacelike directions, the quasilocal energy and angular momentum defined there agree with the results of Arnowitt, Deser and Misner in the limit that the boundary tends to spatial infinity [32-34]. We have used ADM parameters in the above discussions. Now, ADM mass (M) is replaced by quasilocal energy of KN black holes

$$E = r_0 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r_0} + \frac{a^2 + Q^2}{r_0^2}} \right] + O\left(\frac{a^2}{r_0}\right)$$
(5.2)

where $a = \frac{J}{M}$ and r_0 is the radius of the bounding surface. Here we only consider the small electrical charge and slow rotating regime $\left(\frac{|a|}{r_0} \ll 1\right)$, so the definition of Q and J do not have to change. Inserting

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{S}{4} + \frac{1}{S} \left(J^2 + \frac{Q^4}{4} \right) + \frac{Q^2}{2}}$$
(5.3)

in (5.2) one could derive a new fundamental equation for quasilocal parameters

$$E(S,Q,J) = r_0 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{S}{4} + \frac{1}{S}(J^2 + \frac{Q^4}{4}) + \frac{Q^2}{2}}}{r_0}} + \frac{a^2 + Q^2}{r_0^2} \right] + O\left(\frac{a^2}{r_0}\right)$$
(5.4)

Now the Ruppeiner metric components could be calculated

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial X^i \partial X^j} \qquad X^i = (S, Q, J)$$
(5.5)

For RN black holes (2-dimensional state space) curvature is a function of r_0 , such that

$$\lim_{r_0 \to \infty} R_{RN}(r_0, S, Q) = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

For Kerr-Newmann black holes (full thermodynamic state space) the calculated curvature is different from R_{RN} for any finite value of r_0 (it is too large to be written here) and has the following limiting form:

$$\lim_{r_0 \to \infty, J \to 0} R_{KN}(r_0, S, Q, J) = \frac{S^2 + Q^2 S + 2Q^4}{(S^2 - Q^4)(S + Q^2)}$$
(5.7)

As expected this limit equals to (3.1). The above considerations show that our results do not contingent upon computing all thermodynamic quantities at infinity.

6. Conclusion

Usual statistical mechanics, augmented by renormalization group theory, are used to build up from the microscopic physics and deduce information about the macroscopic world. For the black holes, the microscopic description needs a quantum theory of gravity which is still missing. In contrast, the covariant thermodynamic fluctuation theory builds down from the macroscopic equation of states using the Riemannian geometry [14]. In using this method one must be careful to consider all possible physical fluctuations because neglecting one parameter may lead to inadequate information about the model. For the RN case, the Ruppeiner curvature could be obtained using the complete set of physical fluctuating parameters. The resulting Ruppeiner curvature is not zero, behaves like other types of black holes, and could be used to set a lower bound, $R_{\rm Sch} \simeq l_p$, beyond which the classical thermodynamic description breaks down as expected. The Method proposed here could also be applied to other cases, e.g., as shown by Henneaux and Teitelboim [35], it is possible to promote the cosmological constant to a thermodynamic state variable which may be the subject of future study.

References

- J. Aman, I. Bengtsson and N. Pidokrajt, Geometry of black hole thermodynamics, Gen. Rel. Grav. 35 (2003) 1733 [gr-qc/0304015].
- J.E. Aman and N. Pidokrajt, Geometry of higher-dimensional black hole thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 024017 [hep-th/0510139].
- [3] J.E. Aman, I. Bengtsson and N. Pidokrajt, Flat information geometries in black hole thermodynamics, Gen. Rel. Grav. 38 (2006) 1305 [gr-qc/0601119].
- [4] P.C.W. Davies, The thermodynamic theory of black holes, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 353 (1977) 499.
- [5] R.M. Wald, The thermodynamics of black holes, Living Rev. Rel. 4 (2001) 6 [gr-qc/9912119].
- [6] J.D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2333.

- [7] J.M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S.W. Hawking, The four laws of black hole mechanics, Commun. Math. Phys. 31 (1973) 161.
- [8] S.W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, Commun. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199.
- [9] S.W. Hawking, Black hole explosions, Nature 248 (1974) 30.
- [10] T. Jacobson, D. Marolf and C. Rovelli, Black hole entropy: inside or out?, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 44 (2005) 1807 [hep-th/0501103].
- [11] A. Einstein, On the limit of validity of the law of thermodynamic equilibrium and on the possibility of a new determination of elementary quanta, Ann. Phys. (IV Folge) 22 (1907) 569.
- [12] G. Ruppeiner, Thermodynamics: a Riemannian geometric model, Phys. Rev. A 20 (1979) 1608.
- [13] G. Ruppeiner, Thermodynamic critical fluctuation theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 287.
- [14] G. Ruppeiner, Riemannian geometry in thermodynamic fluctuation theory, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67 (1995) 605.
- [15] F. Weinhold, Metric geometry of equilibrium thermodynamics, J. Chem. Phys. 63 (1975) 2479.
- [16] R. Mrugała, On equivalence of two metrics in classical thermodynamics, Physica A 125 (1984) 631.
- [17] P. Salamon, J. D. Nulton and E. Ihrig, On the relation between entropy and energy versions of thermodynamic length, J. Chem. Phys. 80 (1984) 436.
- [18] S. Ferrara, G.W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, Black holes and critical points in moduli space, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 75 [hep-th/9702103].
- [19] R.-G. Cai and J.-H. Cho, Thermodynamic curvature of the BTZ black hole, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 067502 [hep-th/9803261].
- [20] J. Shen, R.-G. Cai, B. Wang and R.-K. Su, Thermodynamic geometry and critical behavior of black holes, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 22 (2007) 11 [gr-qc/0512035].
- [21] T. Sarkar, G. Sengupta and B. Nath Tiwari, On the thermodynamic geometry of BTZ black holes, JHEP 11 (2006) 015 [hep-th/0606084].
- [22] M.M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories, Class. and Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) 399 [hep-th/9908022].
- [23] S. Carroll, Spacetime and geometry: an introduction to general relativity, Benjamin Cummings, 1st edition (2003).
- [24] A. Curir, Rotating black holes as dissipative spin-thermodynamical systems, Gen. Rel. Grav. 13 (1981) 417.
- [25] D. Pavón, Phase transition in reissner-nordstrom black holes, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2495.
- [26] J. Katz, I. Okamoto and O. Kaburaki, Thermodynamic stability of pure black holes, Class. and Quant. Grav. 10 (1993) 1323.
- [27] O. Kaburaki, I. Okamoto and J. Katz, Thermodynamic stability of kerr black holes, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2234.

- [28] R.D. Sorkin, A stability criterion for many parameter equilibrium families, Astrophys. J. 257 (1982) 847.
- [29] G. Arcioni and E. Lozano-Tellechea, Stability and critical phenomena of black holes and black rings, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 104021 [hep-th/0412118].
- [30] J.D. Brown and J. York, James W., Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 1407.
- [31] J.D. Brown, J. Creighton and R.B. Mann, Temperature, energy and heat capacity of asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6394 [gr-qc/9405007].
- [32] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, in *Gravitation: an introduction to current research*, edited by L. Witten, Wiley, New York (1962).
- [33] E.A. Martinez, Quasilocal energy for a kerr black hole, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4920 [gr-qc/9405033].
- [34] S. Bose and T.Z. Naing, Quasilocal energy for rotating charged black hole solutions in general relativity and string theory, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 104027 [hep-th/9911070].
- [35] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Asymptotically anti-de Sitter spaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 98 (1985) 391.